# A Remarkably Efficient Fluoroalkylation of Cyclic Sulfates and Sulfamidates with PhSO₂CF₂H: Facile Entry into β-Difluoromethylated or β-Difluoromethylenated Alcohols and Amines**

Chuanfa Ni, Jun Liu, Laijun Zhang, and Jinbo Hu*

Fluorine is increasingly recognized as a remarkable element in the life sciences, based on the fact that incorporation of one or a few fluorine atoms in an organic molecule can often dramatically alter its stability, lipophilicity, bioavailability, and biopotency. Currently, "as many as 30–40% of agrochemicals and 20% of pharmaceuticals on the market are estimated to contain fluorine".[1] Fluorination and fluoroalkylation are the two major synthetic methods to introduce fluorine atoms or fluorine-containing moieties into organic molecules.[2] While nucleophilic, electrophilic, and radical trifluoromethylations have been extensively studied over the past 30 years, the systematic exploration of the analogous difluoromethylations and difluoromethylenations has emerged more recently.[3] The difluoromethyl functionality (CF₂H) can act both as a more lipophilic biostere of the carbinol group (CH₂OH) and as a hydrogen donor through hydrogen bonding,[3b,4] while 1,1-difluoroalkenyl functionality can be used as a biostere of the carbonyl group with a different reactivity pattern.[3i,5] In some cases, the difluoromethylated compounds exhibit increased bioactivity compared with their trifluoromethylated counterparts.[6]

Previously, we successfully synthesized both α-difluoromethyl alcohols and α-difluoromethylamines from carbonyl compounds and imines by using a direct nucleophilic difluoromethylation strategy, orchestrated by TMSCF₂SO₂Ph and PhSO₂CF₂H (**1**).[3a,b,d] However, the synthesis of β-difluoromethyl alcohols and β-difluoromethylamines was found to be more challenging. We studied the reactions between PhSO₂CF₂⁻ and epoxides (or aziridines), but the expected products, the β-difluoromethyl alcohols **4** (or amines **5**), were not observed (Scheme 1).[7] We ascribed the difficulty of this ring-opening reaction to be the "negative fluorine effect", that is, fluorine substitution on the carbanion center will dramatically decrease its nucleophilicity towards electro-

[*] C. Ni, J. Liu, L. Zhang, Prof. Dr. J. Hu
Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry
Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry
Chinese Academy of Sciences
354 Feng-Lin Road, Shanghai 200032 (P.R. China)
Fax: (+86) 21-6416-6128
E-mail: jinbohu@mail.sioc.ac.cn

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

Scheme 1. Initial attempted preparation of β-difluoromethyl alcohols **4** and β-difluoromethylamines **5**. PG = protecting group.

philes.[7] Although the negative fluorine effect was weakened by using (PhSO₂)₂CHF, and both the β-monofluoromethyl alcohols and amines were successfully prepared in one step, this method was still not suitable for the synthesis of β-difluoromethyl alcohols **4** and amines **5**.[7] We surmised that this problem might be solved by using the more electrophilic epoxide and aziridine equivalents, the 1,2-cyclic sulfates **2** and sulfamidates **3** (Scheme 1), to react with PhSO₂CF₂⁻. Compounds **2** and **3** represent a versatile class of functionalized and often enantiomerically pure electrophiles,[8] and their application in organic synthesis has been widely studied, especially since the development of osmium-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation and aminohydroxylation reactions by Sharpless and co-workers.[9] However, the nucleophilic fluoroalkylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfates and sulfamidates have scarcely been reported, with the only successful example being the trifluoromethylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfates with CF₃I/ TDAE (TDAE = tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene) to give a β-trifluoromethyl alcohol in moderate yields (43–62%).[10] Herein we disclose a remarkably efficient and highly regioselective fluoroalkylation of both 1,2-cyclic sulfates **2** and sulfamidates **3** using difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (**1**)[3] as the fluoroalkylating agent. In addition to its high efficiency and the simple experimental procedures involved, the major advantage of this synthetic methodology is that it allows facile entry to both β-difluoromethylated and β-difluoromethylenated alcohols (**4** and **6**) and amines (**5** and **7**, Scheme 1), which are important building blocks for drug design and for the synthesis of bioactive target molecules.[11]

In a first trial, we chose propane 1,2-cyclic sulfate **2a**, which is readily prepared from propane-1,2-diol according to

the procedure of Gao and Sharpless,[9a] as a model compound. The initial reaction of the anion $PhSO_2CF_2^-$ (generated in situ from $PhSO_2CF_2H$ and LHMDS) with **2a** was carried out in THF/HMPA at −78°C over 2 h (molar ratio **2a**/**1**/LHMDS 1.2:1:1.2), and the (phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylated product **8a** was obtained in 77% yield after subsequent hydrolysis (Scheme 2). A brief optimization of the reaction conditions revealed that an excellent yield (98%) of product **8a** was obtained when the ratio of reactants was **2a**/**1**/LHMDS 1.0:1.1:1.2 and the reaction time reduced (less than 30 min, Scheme 2). The addition of HMPA significantly enhanced

Scheme 2. (Phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfate **2a**. HMPA = hexamethyl phosphoramide, LHMDS = lithium hexamethyl-disilazanide.

both the reaction rate and the yield. The propane 1,2-cyclic sulfite (the precursor to the preparation of **2a**) was also examined as a substrate under the similar reaction conditions. However, no product **8a** was formed, and longer reaction times resulted in the decomposition of the $PhSO_2CF_2H$ reagent.

We examined the scope of the nucleophilic (phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of **2** with **1** under these optimized reaction conditions (Table 1). The reaction proved to be general and highly regioselective, with the $PhSO_2CF_2^-$ ion attacking at the less-hindered-carbon atom of the cyclic sulfates **2** to provide the secondary alcohols **8**. In all cases, as shown in Table 1, the yields of **8** were excellent (87–99%). The reaction was found to be compatible with other functional groups such as OTs and Cl (Table 1, entries 4 and 5), which shows the high reactivity of $PhSO_2CF_2^-$ towards cyclic sulfates **2**. Notably, high reaction rates meant that in all cases the reaction had reached completion as soon as the dropwise addition of the base (LHMDS) had finished (usually within 30 min at −78°C). The nucleophilic fluoroalkylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfates **2** with **1** was remarkable, especially when compared with the previously reported nucleophilic trifluoromethylations of **2** using CF₃I/TDAE which proceed in much lower yields (< 62%).[10]

We also investigated the reaction of 1,3-cyclic sulfate **9** with $PhSO_2CF_2^-$ as shown in Scheme 3. We found that compound **9** showed lower reactivity towards $PhSO_2CF_2^-$, and a longer reaction time (8 h) was required to afford the γ-difluoroalkylated product **10** in 63% yield.

Encouraged by these results, we predicted that the 1,2-cyclic sulfamidates **3** could also undergo the nucleophilic ring-opening reaction with $PhSO_2CF_2^-$. Thus, the optically pure 1,2-cyclic sulfamidates **3** were prepared from the corresponding amino alcohols. A variety of structurally diverse 1,2-cyclic sulfamidates **3** were found to react readily with $PhSO_2CF_2^-$

Table 1: (Phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfate **2**.

Entry	Sulfate 2	Product 8	Yield [%][a]
1	**2a**	**8a**	98
2	**2b**	**8b**	94
3	**2c**	**8c**	94
4	**2d**	**8d**	89
5	**2e**	**8e**	92[b]
6	**2f**	**8f**	87
7	**2g**	**8g**	99
8	Ar = Ph (**2h**)	Ar = Ph (**8h**)	93
9	Ar = p-MeOC₆H₄ (**2i**)	Ar = p-MeOC₆H₄ (**8i**)	99
10	Ar = o-MeOC₆H₄ (**2j**)	Ar = o-MeOC₆H₄ (**8j**)	97[b]
11	Ar = m-MeC₆H₄ (**2k**)	Ar = m-MeC₆H₄ (**8k**)	94[b]

[a] Yield of isolated product. [b] Purified after silylation of the hydroxy group. Bn = benzyl, Ts = toluene-4-sulfonyl.

Scheme 3. (Phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of 1,3-cyclic sulfate **9**.

with high regioselectivity under similar reaction conditions as for **2**, and the corresponding homochiral β-(phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylated amines **11** were obtained in 90–99% yields (Table 2). Stereochemical deterioration at the stereogenic center is negligible as demonstrated by the high *ee* value (> 99.5%) determined for product **11a** by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase (see the Supporting Information).

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this highly efficient fluoroalkylation reaction, β-(phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethyl

Table 2: (Phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of cyclic sulfamidates **3**.

Entry	Sulfamidate **3**	Product **11**[a]	Yield [%][b]
1	**3a**	**11a**	96
2	**3b**	**11b**	98
3	**3c**	**11c**	99
4	**3d**	**11d**	98
5	**3e**	**11e**	93
6	**3f**	**11f**	90

[a] An *ee* value of >99.5% was determined for **11a** by using HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase. The configurations of other products **11b–f** were assigned based on the fact that no racemization occurred during the reactions. [b] Yield of isolated product. PMB = *para*-methoxybenzyl.

alcohol **8h** and amine **11a** were further transformed into their corresponding β-difluoromethylated and β-difluoromethylenated products **12–15** (Scheme 4), by taking advantage of the property of the sulfone functionality as a "chemical chameleon".[12] Reductive desulfonylations of **8h** and **11a** were carried out in DMF solution at room temperature by using our previously developed Mg/HOAc/NaOAc system.[3a] The corresponding β-difluoromethylated alcohol **12** and amine **13** were obtained in 83% and 81% yield, respectively (Scheme 4). Another important transformation of **8h** and **11a** is the base-mediated α,β-elimination of a molecule of phenylsulfinic acid to give the corresponding β-difluoromethylenated alcohol **14** and amine **15** in 82% and 70% yield, respectively.

In summary, we have demonstrated a highly regioselective synthesis of β-difluoromethylated and β-difluoromethylenated alcohols and amines using a nucleophilic difluoromethylation strategy. Nucleophilic (phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfates and sulfamidates with difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone affords the corresponding β-(benzenesulfonyl)difluoromethylated products **8** and **11** in excellent yields. Upon selective desulfonylation, compounds **8** and **11** can be readily transformed into β-difluoromethylated and β-difluoromethylenated alcohols and amines, which are highly useful building blocks for many applications in the life sciences.

Scheme 4. Prepration of β-difluoromethylated and β-difluoromethylenated alcohols **12** and **14**, and amines **13** and **15**. DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide.

Experimental Section

Typical procedure for the nucleophilic difluoromethylation of 1,2-cyclic sulfates using difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone: A solution of LHMDS (1.0 M, 1.2 mmol) in THF (1.2 mL) was added over 10 min to a 20-mL Schlenk flask containing **2a** (138 mg, 1.0 mmol) and **1** (211 mg, 1.1 mmol) in THF (5 mL) and HMPA (0.5 mL) at −78 °C under N₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for another 20 min, and then 20% aqueous sulfuric acid (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then extracted with Et₂O (10 mL × 3). The combined organic phase was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution and then dried over MgSO₄. After removal of volatile solvents under vacuum, the crude product was purified by column chromatography through silica gel to give **8a** as a colorless oil (245 mg, 98% yield).

[1] A. M. Thayer, *Chem. Eng. News* **2006**, *84*(23), 15–24; A. M. Thayer, *Chem. Eng. News* **2006**, *84*(23), 27–32.

[2] a) K. Uneyama, *Organofluorine Chemistry*, Blackwell, New Delhi, **2006**; b) R. D. Chambers, *Fluorine in Organic Chemistry*, Blackwell, Oxford, **2004**; c) P. Kirsch, *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2004**. d) *Organofluorine Compounds: Chemistry and Applications* (Ed.: T. Hiyama), Springer, New York, **2000**.

[3] See recent examples: a) C. Ni, J. Hu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 8273–8277; b) Y. Li, J. Hu, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 6032–6036; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5882–5886; c) J. Liu, C. Ni, Y. Li, L. Zhang, G. Wang, J. Hu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 6753–6756; d) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, Y. Wang, G. A. Olah, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 2218–2223; e) G. K. S. Prakash, Y. Wang, J. Hu, G. A. Olah, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 1361–1367;

f) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, Y. Wang, G. A. Olah, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4315–4317; g) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, G. A. Olah, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 4457–4463; h) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, Y. Wang, G. A. Olah, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 529–534; i) G. K. S. Prakash, Y. Wang, J. Hu, G. A. Olah, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 1361–1367; j) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, T. Mathew, G. A. Olah, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 5374–5377; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5216–5219; k) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, Y. Wang, G. A. Olah, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 5315–5318; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5203–5206.

[4] a) J. A. Erickson, J. I. McLoughlin, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 1626–1631; b) R. Sasson, A. Hagooly, S. Rozen, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 769–771, and references therein.

[5] W. B. Motherwell, Jr., M. J. Tozer, B. C. Ross, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1437–1439.

[6] M. J. Graneto, W. J. Philips, US patent 5,093,347, **1992**.

[7] C. Ni, Y. Li, J. Hu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 6829–6833.

[8] See reviews: a) B. B. Lohray, *Synthesis* **1992**, 1035–1052; b) R. Bittman, H.-S. Byun, L. He, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 7051–7091; c) R. E. Meléndez, W. D. Lubell, *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2581–2616.

[9] a) Y. Gao, K. B. Sharpless, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 7538–7539; b) E. N. Jacobsen, I. Markó, W. S. Mungall, G. Schröder, K. B. Sharpless, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1968–1970; c) H. C. Kolb, M. S. NanNiewenhze, K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 2483–2547; d) G. Li, H.-T. Chang, K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem.* **1996**, *108*, 449–452; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 451–454; e) G. Li, K. B. Sharpless, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1996**, *35*, 451–454.

[10] N. Takechi, S. Ait-Mohand, M. Medebielle, W. R. Dolbier, Jr., *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4671–4672.

[11] a) J. R. McCarthy, *Fluorine in Drug Design: A Tutorial Review*, 17th Winter Fluorine Conference (St. Pete Beach, Florida, USA, January 9–14), **2005**; b) J. R. McCarthy, *Utility of Fluorine in Biologically Active Molecules*, 219th Nat. Meet. Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Fluorine Chem. Tutorial (San Francisco, California, USA), **2000**; c) *Biomedical Frontiers of Fluorine Chemistry* (Eds.: I. Ojima, J. R. McCarthy, J. T. John), American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, **1996**; d) *Organofluorine Compounds in Medicinal Chemistry and Biomedical Applications* (Eds: R. Filler, Y. Kobayashi, L. M. Yagupolskii), Elsevier, Amsterdam, **1993**.

[12] N. S. Simpkins, *Sulphones in Organic Synthesis*, Pergamon, Oxford, **1993**.